Application No. Applicant(s) 10/009,165 FUNCK ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit **Bradley J Van Pelt** 3682 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Bradley J Van Pelt. (3) John J. Gresens. (2) Robert Kalinsky. (4)_____ Date of Interview: 06 May 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-9. Identification of prior art discussed: Elkin et al. (USPN 6,123,174), herein after Elkin. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. q) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative argued that the Elkin reference does not disclose a plurality of lubrication points of a single apparatus; moreover, Elkin discloses a single apparatus with a single lubrication point. The examiner agreed and will further consider a timely amendment to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required